From: "Edward Temko" <temkon@me.com> [192.168.0.6]
To: ehbarak <ehbarak1@gmail.com>
Date: 4/11/2016 3:49:05 PM UTC
Subject: Re: ch 20 general issue

Will try.

> On 11 Apr 2016, at 16:34, ehbarak <ehbarak1@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> I never read his (or anyone's else) book. I have to see it. You can probably take few pictures from the relevant pages re the 'deposit' and send me over the email. We will use it as a test case. He was a US official and might have his own interpretation. That can not replace my testimony. But let's see. Can you do it? Best. EB
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
>> On 11 Apr 2016, at 11:12, Edward Temko <temkon@me.com> wrote:
>>
>> Fine. So what do you want me just to leave any such material from his book out (which is very easy to do)? In regard to the “deposit”, there’s a whole
>> large section devoted to a meeting he has with you in Georgetown, I believe…
>>
>>
>>> On 11 Apr 2016, at 16:12, ehbarak <ehbarak1@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> He just mixes me with someone else. I basically proposed the idea to Rabin and then, personally helped him to formulate the conditions of using it.
>>> DR might sometimes make mistakes as well as I can sometimes.
>>>
>>> Sent from my iPhone
>>>
>>>> On 11 Apr 2016, at 11:06, Edward Temko <temkon@me.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> Having looked back further at Dennis’s memoir, I see he even includes quotes from a conversation during which you are portrayed as basically
>>>> accepting that you didn’t know about the deposit. Along with other points (such as the call in which Dennis says you wanted to scale back the
>>>> first round of Syria talks), this presents us with an issue you need to decide – i.e., do we write around such published accounts by the main
>>>> US Mideast negotiator, as I’ve tried to do by giving your version without directly denying his? Or do we simply leave it any part of his account
>>>> that doesn’t jibe with ours? I can do it either way, but it’s your call.
>>>> Best

>>>> Ned

>>